

Internal Revenue Service4

Department of the Treasury

Uniform Issue List No: 414.09-00

Washington, DC 20224

Person to Contact

Telephone Number: ,

Refer Reply to: T:EP:RA:G

Date: APR 24 2001

Atten:

Legend:

State A =

Employer M =

Plan N =

Statute X =

Ordinance Y =

Dear

This is in response to a request for a private letter ruling submitted on behalf of Employer M on December 21, 2000 and supplemented by additional correspondence submitted on April 17, 2001 concerning the federal income tax treatment of certain contributions to Plan N under section 414(h)(2) of the Internal Revenue Code ("Code").

The following facts and representations have been submitted:

Employer M is a municipality of State A. Employer M is responsible for the performance of fire suppression and fire education, as well as the performance of emergency medical and rescue services. State A has expressly provided for the creation of retirement plans by either the city council or board of trustees by a "municipality" as defined in Article 4 of Statute X. Employer M makes contributions to Plan N subject to the requirements of Article 4 of Statute X. Employee contributions are mandatory. Employees must contribute to Plan N through payroll deduction. It is represented that Plan N is a qualified plan under section 401(a) of the Code

Article 4 of Statute X authorizes a municipality participating in Plan N to pick up the employees' required contributions. If contributions are picked up, they shall be treated as

251

employer contributions in determining tax treatment under the Code. The municipality may pick up these contributions by a reduction in salary or by an offset against **future** salary increases or by a combination of a reduction in salary and offset against a future salary increase. If contributions are picked up they shall be considered for all purposes of Statute X as employees' contributions made prior to the time that the contributions were picked up.

Pursuant to Statute X, Employer M adopted Ordinance Y on December 20, 2000, authorizing the pick up of its employees' required contributions. The Ordinance provides (1) that employee contributions to Plan N are treated as being paid by Employer M, and (2) that employees do not have the option of choosing to receive the contributed amounts directly instead of having them paid by Employer M to Plan N.

Based on the foregoing, the following rulings are requested:

- (1) That no part of the mandatory employee contributions picked up by Employer M as the employer of employees who participate in Plan N constitute gross income to the employees for federal income tax purposes.
- (2) That the contributions picked up by salary reduction, offset against future salary increases, or both, and though designated as employee contributions, will be treated as employer contributions for federal income tax purposes.
- (3) That the contributions picked up by Employer M will not constitute wages from which taxes must be withheld.

Section 414(h)(2) of the Code provides that contributions, otherwise designated as employee contributions, shall be treated as employer contributions if: (1) such contributions are made to a plan determined to be qualified under section 401(a) of the Code; (2) the plan is established by a state government or political subdivision thereof; and (3) the contributions are picked up by the governmental employer.

The federal income tax treatment to be accorded contributions which are picked up by the employer within the meaning of section 414(h)(2) of the Code is specified in Revenue Ruling 77-462, 1977-2 C.B. 358. In that revenue ruling, the employer school district agreed to assume and pay the amounts employees were required by state law to contribute to a state pension plan. Revenue Ruling 77-462 concluded that the school district's picked-up contributions to the plan are excluded from the employees' income until such time as they are distributed to the employees. The revenue ruling held further that under provisions of section **3401(a)(12)(A)** of the Code, the school district's contributions to the plan are excluded from wages for purposes of the collection of income tax at the source of wages; therefore, no withholding is required from the employees' salaries with respect to such picked-up contributions.

The issue of whether contributions have been picked up by an employer within the meaning of section 414(h)(2) of the Code is addressed in Revenue Ruling 81-35, 1981-1 C.B. 255 and Revenue Ruling 81-36, 1981-1 C.B. 255. These revenue rulings established

that the following two criteria must be met: (1) the employer must specify that the contributions, although designated as employee contributions, are being paid by the employer in lieu of contributions by the employee; and (2) the employees must not be given the option of choosing to receive amounts directly instead of having them paid by the employer to the pension plan. Furthermore, it is immaterial whether an employer picks up contributions through a reduction in salary, an offset against future salary increases, or a combination of both.

In order to satisfy Revenue Ruling 81-35 and 81-36 with respect to particular contributions, Revenue Ruling 87-10, 1987-1 C.B. 136 provides that the required specification of designated employee contributions must be completed before the period to which such contributions relate. If not, the designated employee contributions, being paid by the employer are actually employee contributions paid by the employee and recharacterized at a later date. Thus, the retroactive specification of designated employee contributions as paid by the employing unit, i.e., the retroactive "pick-up" of designated employee contributions by a governmental employer, is not permitted under section 414(h)(2) of the Code.

Ordinance Y executed by Employer M satisfies the criteria set forth in Revenue Rulings 81-35 and 81-36 because it specifies: (1) that the contributions, although designated as employee contributions, are to be made by Employer M for its employees and (2) that the employees may not elect to receive such contribution amounts directly.

Accordingly, we conclude that:

In regard to ruling request number one, the employee contributions picked up by Employer M and contributed to Plan N on behalf of its employees will not be **cludible** in the employees' gross income for federal income tax purposes **in the** taxable year in which such amounts are contributed.

In **regard** to ruling request number two, the employee contributions picked up by Employer M and contributed to Plan N shall be treated as employer contributions within the meaning of section 414(h)(2) of the Code.

In regard to ruling request number three, because we have determined that the picked-up employee contributions are to be treated as employer contributions, they are excepted from wages as defined in section **3401(a)(12)(A)** of the Code, for federal income tax withholding purposes, in the taxable year in which they are contributed to Plan N.

These rulings apply only if the effective date for the commencement of any proposed pick-up as specified in Ordinance Y, cannot be any earlier than the later of the date Ordinance Y is executed or the date it is put into effect.

The above rulings are based on the assumption that Plan N will be qualified under section 401(a) of the Code at the time **of the** proposed contributions and distributions.


200129038

No opinion is expressed as to whether the amounts in question are subject to tax under the Federal Insurance Contribution Act. No opinion is expressed as to whether the amounts in question are being paid pursuant to a "salary reduction agreement" within the meaning of section 3121(v)(1)(B) of the Code.

This ruling is directed only to the taxpayer that requested it and applies only with respect to Plan N and Plan O. Section 6110(k)(3) of the Code provides that this private letter ruling may not be used or cited by others as precedent.

A copy of this letter has been sent to your authorized representatives in accordance with a power of attorney on file in this office.

Sincerely Yours,



Richard Wickersham, Manager
Employee Plans Technical Guidance
Tax Exempt and **Government** Entities Division

Enclosures:

Deleted Copy of Letter

Notice of Intention to Disclose

Copy of Letter to Authorized Representative

254